

From: Maldoom, Edwin
Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2024 2:28 PM
To: office@compliancedirectltd.com
Cc: prashtheva@gmail.com;
Subject: RE: Carlton Lounge, 232-234 Kingsbury Road, NW9 0BH - Representation against the application to vary the premises licence (REF: 32523)

Good afternoon Sivashankar,

Thank you for your response. I'm rather confused as to why you would not wish to continue the discussions with me, despite my repeated attempts to reach a pragmatic solution that works for all parties. Nonetheless, I would be most grateful if you could either forward on my email to the appointed legal representative, or to provide me with their email, so I can continue this dialogue.

Irrespective of the above, I would appreciate it if your client could confirm which conditions he agrees with, or alternatively, which ones he does not. For your convenience, I have attached both the proposed Annex 2 conditions from my representation and the current Annex 2 conditions from the existing premises licence.

As noted in my representation, I appreciate that I can only assert on conditions that directly pertain to the variation (i.e., conditions that relate to the prevention of public nuisance due to the proposed later hours). The other amendments I have suggested are simply aimed at tidying up the current conditions, such as including new conditions that I surmise your client adheres to already and removing any outdated conditions that are effectively unenforceable.

Many thanks,

Edwin Maldoom
Licensing Enforcement Officer
Regulatory Services

From: office@compliancedirectltd.com
Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2024 1:19 PM
To: Maldoom, Edwin
Cc: prashtheva@ ;
Subject: RE: Carlton Lounge, 232-234 Kingsbury Road, NW9 0BH - Representation against the application to vary the premises licence (REF: 32523)

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your email.

As you may have been informed by the LA, we now have appointed an eminent leading Counsel in this field of Licensing to advise and represent.

Given this, I am unable to provide any further discussions in this matter. However, all these correspondences are being passed to the Counsel, and you My hear from them.

Kind Regards,
Sivashankar

From: Maldoom, Edwin
Sent: 09 September 2024 14:38
To: office@complianceirectltd.com
Cc: prashtheva@ ;
Subject: RE: Carlton Lounge, 232-234 Kingsbury Road, NW9 0BH - Representation against the application to vary the premises licence (REF: 32523)

Good afternoon Sivashankar,

I understand and appreciate that your client would like the hours to be varied in line with the application. In doing so, I would perhaps like to see some conditions that would negate any possible noise issues that could potentially transpire as a consequence.

As such, I would say that yes, the conditions are certainly worth discussing. I think a good starting point therefore would be for you to let me know which conditions set out within my representation your client either agrees with or don't agree with.

Many thanks,

Edwin Maldoom
Licensing Enforcement Officer
Regulatory Services

From: office@complianceirectltd.com
Sent: Monday, September 9, 2024 2:27 PM
To: Maldoom, Edwin
Cc: prashtheva@ ;
Subject: RE: Carlton Lounge, 232-234 Kingsbury Road, NW9 0BH - Representation against the application to vary the premises licence (REF: 32523)

Dear Mr Maldoom,

Thank you for your email.

Given the current position from Police and Noise Team, we cannot agree to amend the hours of operation.

Is it worth discussing conditions?

Thanks

Sivashankar

From: Maldoom, Edwin
Sent: 09 September 2024 14:18
To: office@complianceirectltd.com
Cc: prashtheva@ ;
Subject: RE: Carlton Lounge, 232-234 Kingsbury Road, NW9 0BH - Representation against the application to vary the premises licence (REF: 32523)

Good afternoon Sivashankar,

Thank you for your email.

In the spirit of mediation and in an effort to find some common ground, I would be most grateful if you could let me know which conditions set out within my representation that you either agree with or disagree with. I believe this would be a beneficial step towards finding a solution.

Like you and your client, I would prefer to avoid a hearing if all outstanding matters can be settled, and if we can find terms that satisfies all parties.

Perhaps once we have addressed the conditions, we can then move on to discussing the proposed hours.

Many thanks,

Edwin Maldoom
Licensing Enforcement Officer
Regulatory Services

From: office@complianceirectltd.com
Sent: Monday, September 9, 2024 1:17 PM
To: Maldoom, Edwin <
Cc: prashtheva@ ;
Subject: RE: Carlton Lounge, 232-234 Kingsbury Road, NW9 0BH - Representation against the application to vary the premises licence (REF: 32523)

Dear Sir,

Now my confusion is resolved, would like to bring the following to your attention.

Given that the Met Police and the Noise team have not made any representations after consulting with us, we find the Licensing Authority taking on the responsible authority function unnecessary at best and overzealous at worst.

I urge you to reconsider your position on this, so that we can continue to work with the Authority. In case of appeal, this email will be put in front of the Courts to provide all costs.

Thanks

Sivashankar

From: Maldoom, Edwin
Sent: 04 September 2024 10:17
To: shankar P
Cc: Business Licence; Legister, Linda; prashtheva@
Subject: RE: Carlton Lounge, 232-234 Kingsbury Road, NW9 0BH - Representation against the application to vary the premises licence (REF: 32523)

Good morning Sivashankar,

I think I understand the confusion then, you would have spoken to one of the Licensing Officers who work for the Metropolitan Police (rather than myself at Brent Council).

Of course, I'm not privy to your conversation and I would suggest that you would follow it up by emailing nwmailbox.licensingbrent@met.police.uk separately.

Many thanks,

Edwin Maldoom
Licensing Enforcement Officer
Regulatory Services

From: shankar P <office@complianceirectltd.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 4, 2024 10:10 AM
To: Maldoom, Edwin
Cc: Business Licence; Legister, Linda prashtheva@
Subject: RE: Carlton Lounge, 232-234 Kingsbury Road, NW9 0BH - Representation against the application to vary the premises licence (REF: 32523)

Dear Sir, the number was ***** and confirmed that from Police.

I am sorry I did not get the name, though he did say it

Thanks

Shankar

On 4 Sept 2024 10:03, "Maldoom, Edwin" <Edwin.Maldoom@brent.gov.uk> wrote:

Good morning Sivashankar,

There appears to be some confusion – I did not speak to you on the phone yesterday. I would strongly suggest that you check the number and clarify who you spoke to (i.e., was it another responsible authority, or a member of the public who put in a representation?).

Nonetheless, thank you for clarifying your client's position. I acknowledge the fact that he does not agree to the terms of my representation. Consequently, I therefore confirm that my representation against the application shall remain upheld.

Many thanks,

Edwin Maldoom
Licensing Enforcement Officer

From: office@complianceirectltd.com
Sent: Wednesday, September 4, 2024 9:54 AM
To: Maldoom, Edwin
Cc: Business Licence; Legister, Linda; prashtheva@
Subject: RE: Carlton Lounge, 232-234 Kingsbury Road, NW9 0BH - Representation against the application to vary the premises licence (REF: 32523)

Dear Sir,

It was a brief telephone conversation yesterday, which I misunderstood that you are withdrawing the rep.

I apologise.

Our clients are not in agreement with your suggested amendments either to the hours or to the conditions.

Thank you

Sivashankar

From: Maldoom, Edwin
Sent: 04 September 2024 09:24
To: office@complianceirectltd.com
Cc: Business Licence; Legister, Linda
Subject: RE: Carlton Lounge, 232-234 Kingsbury Road, NW9 0BH - Representation against the application to vary the premises licence (REF: 32523)
Importance: High

Good morning Sivashankar,

Just as a point of clarification - I have not withdrawn my representation.

I stated in the email below that I was hopeful that the representation *could* be withdrawn – however this was on the proviso that the annex two conditions that I had set out were agreed and that there would be no further extension to the terminal hour for licensable activities/opening hours on Sunday to Thursday (i.e., they remain the same as they currently are on the premises licence). I had agreed to the extension for Friday/Saturday however only on the basis that the annex two conditions are amended as per my representation.

You had emailed me yesterday to say that *“Given the past operation of the premises our clients feel that this application for extending the times do not undermine the licensing objectives and no contrary evidence is provided in your statement.*

Further, as to the suggested amendments to existing conditions are outside the S 36 of the Licensing Act 2003.”

I hadn't had the chance to reply to this email yet. I understand that your client has the right to express the opinion that they do not believe that the application will not undermine the licensing objectives – I however hold a different opinion in my role as the Licensing Authority consulting upon your client's application.

Just so I can have some clarity on where we stand currently in terms of mediation, does your client agree to the conditions that I have set out within my representation?

Many thanks,

Edwin Maldoom
Licensing Enforcement Officer
Regulatory Services

From: office@complianceirectltd.com <office@complianceirectltd.com>

Sent: Tuesday, September 3, 2024 5:00 PM

To: Maldoom, Edwin <Edwin.Maldoom@brent.gov.uk>

Cc: Business Licence <business.licence@brent.gov.uk>; Legister, Linda <Linda.Legister@brent.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: Carlton Lounge, 232-234 Kingsbury Road, NW9 0BH - Representation against the application to vary the premises licence (REF: 32523)

Dear Sirs,

Thank you for withdrawing the representation. The application stands as applied for.

Kind Regards

Sivashankar

From: Maldoom, Edwin

Sent: 19 August 2024 14:21

To: office@complianceirectltd.com

Cc: Business Licence; Legister, Linda

Subject: Carlton Lounge, 232-234 Kingsbury Road, NW9 0BH - Representation against the application to vary the premises licence (REF: 32523)

Importance: High

Good afternoon,

Please find attached representation against the above application to vary the premises licence. I surmise that you will need to discuss this with your client, however, I am hopeful that this representation can be withdrawn once we reach an agreement in relation to further conditions and amendment to the operating schedule.

If you have any questions regarding this, please don't hesitate to get in touch.

Many thanks,

Edwin Maldoom
Licensing Enforcement Officer